

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/670,990	BOYLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeff H. Aftergut	1733	

All Participants:

(1) Jeff H. Aftergut.

(2) Charles Guenzer.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

38

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed a need to recite that the % defined in claim 38 was a weight percent and not an atomic percent. Noted that 12, lines 11-12 of the specification defined the percent as a weight percent. Proposed to amend claim 38 to recite that the percent was a "wt%" instead of an "at%".